Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bis[4-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylideneamino)phenyl] ether

#### Hong-Wu Xu,\* Jin-Xia Li and Yin-Hua Li

Department of Materials and Chemical Engineering, ZhongYuan University of Technology, ZhengZhou, Henan 450007, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: hongwuxu2006@126.com

Received 7 May 2008; accepted 17 May 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 173 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.062; wR factor = 0.166; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.3.

The title compound,  $C_{28}H_{24}N_2O_5$ , a flexible Schiff base ligand, was prepared in high yield by a Schiff base condensation of 3methoxysalicylaldehyde and bis(4-aminophenyl) ether in methanol. The molecule lies on a twofold rotation axis, and each half exhibits an imine *E* configuration and an  $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bond. The dihedral angle between the two benzene rings attached to the central O atom is 69.22 (6)°, and that between each of these rings and the other benzene ring in the same half of the molecule is 24.29 (11)°, illustrating the degree of twisting of the flexible molecule.

#### **Related literature**

For related literature, see: Chu *et al.* (2007); Guo *et al.* (2002); He *et al.* (2000); Tesouro Vallina *et al.* (2001); Yoshida *et al.* (1999).



#### Experimental

#### Crystal data

erystat aata	
$\begin{array}{l} C_{28}H_{24}N_2O_5\\ M_r = 468.49\\ \text{Monoclinic, } C2/c\\ a = 15.585 \ (7) \ \text{\AA}\\ p = 7.578 \ (4) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 19.859 \ (9) \ \text{\AA}\\ \beta = 92.760 \ (8)^{\circ} \end{array}$	$V = 2342.7 (19) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (2)  K $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	2689 independent reflections 2016 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Absorption correction: none 8736 measured reflections

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.166$	independent and constrained
S = 1.11	refinement
2689 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
165 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.035$ 

#### Table 1

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O2−H3···N1	0.84	1.87	2.611 (2)	147

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CF2200).

#### References

Bruker (2001). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Chu, Z. L. & Huang, W. (2007). J. Mol. Struct. 837, 15-22.

Guo, D., Pang, K. L., Duan, C. Y., He, C. & Meng, Q. J. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* 41, 5978–5985.

He, C., Duan, C. Y., Fang, C. J. & Meng, Q. J. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2419–2424.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Tesouro Vallina, A. & Stoeckli-Evans, H. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, m59–m61.Yoshida, N., Oshio, H. & Ito, T. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 975– 983. supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o1145 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808014980]

# Bis[4-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylideneamino)phenyl] ether

# H.-W. Xu, J.-X. Li and Y.-H. Li

#### Comment

Within the field of supramolecular inorganic chemistry, self-assembly is one of the most efficient methods for complex architectures comprising spatially and geometrically well defined arrays of metal ions. Because of easy syntheses by simple one-pot condensation reactions between aldehydes (or ketones) and amines and their coordinating ability with metal ions, multidentate Schiff base ligands such as pyridylimines (He *et al.*, 2000; Guo *et al.*, 2002; Tesouro Vallina *et al.*, 2001) and salicyladimines (Yoshida *et al.*, 1999; Chu *et al.*, 2007) were designed and used to prepare complexes in recent years. Here we report the synthesis and structure of a new flexible Schiff base ligand, bis(N-(3-methoxysalicylidene)-4-aminophenyl) ether. The molecule lies on a twofold rotation axis, and each half exhibits an imine E configuration and an O—H…N hydrogen bond. The dihedral angle between the two benzene rings attached to the central O atom is 69.22 (6)°, and that between each of these rings and the other benzene ring in the same half of the molecule is 24.29 (11)°, illustrating the degree of twisting of the flexible molecule. The bond lengths and angles are in agreement with those reported for other salicyladimines ligands (Chu *et al.*, 2007).

#### **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared by a Schiff-base condensation of 3-methoxysalicylaldehyde (3.04 g, 20 mmol) and bis(4aminophenyl) ether (2.02 g, 10 mmol) in methanol (40 ml). The solution was stirred and refluxed for 1 day. The orange precipitate was filtered off, washed with a small amount of methanol and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 91%. Well shaped orange crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a chloroform solution of the title compound at room temperature.

#### Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically (C—H = 0.96 Å; O—H = 0.84 Å), assigned isotropic displacement parameters equal to  $1.2U_{eq}$  of the parent atoms, and allowed to ride on these parent atoms.

## Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. [Symmetry code A: 2-x, y, 3/2-z.]

## Bis[4-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylideneamino)phenyl] ether

Crystal data

 $C_{28}H_{24}N_2O_5$ 

 $F_{000} = 984$ 

$M_r = 468.49$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.328 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 15.585 (7)  Å	Cell parameters from 2635 reflections
b = 7.578 (4) Å	$\theta = 1.7 - 25.1^{\circ}$
c = 19.859 (9)  Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 92.760 \ (8)^{\circ}$	T = 173 (2)  K
$V = 2342.7 (19) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colourless
Z = 4	$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	2016 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.035$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$
T = 173(2)  K	$\theta_{\min} = 2.6^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -20 \rightarrow 19$
Absorption correction: none	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
8736 measured reflections	$l = -22 \rightarrow 25$
2689 independent reflections	

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.062$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.166$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0739P)^2 + 0.5764P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.11	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
2689 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
165 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.13 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: none

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
N1	0.88064 (10)	0.0091 (2)	0.52377 (7)	0.0561 (4)
01	1.0000	-0.3717 (3)	0.7500	0.0694 (6)
O2	0.78593 (9)	0.02484 (16)	0.41186 (7)	0.0660 (4)
Н3	0.8101	-0.0219	0.4461	0.079*
C4	0.91503 (11)	-0.0853 (3)	0.58068 (8)	0.0527 (5)
C13	0.80476 (12)	0.1980 (2)	0.41092 (9)	0.0521 (4)
O3	0.71769 (10)	0.21180 (19)	0.31172 (8)	0.0739 (5)
C12	0.77074 (13)	0.3000 (2)	0.35765 (10)	0.0572 (5)
C3	0.98968 (12)	-0.0344 (3)	0.61733 (9)	0.0574 (5)
H8	1.0210	0.0659	0.6036	0.069*
C6	0.90099 (13)	-0.3309 (3)	0.65622 (10)	0.0610 (5)
Н9	0.8709	-0.4333	0.6694	0.073*
C5	0.87355 (12)	-0.2368 (3)	0.59967 (10)	0.0591 (5)
H10	0.8250	-0.2772	0.5733	0.071*
C8	0.85726 (12)	0.2774 (2)	0.46152 (10)	0.0564 (5)
C2	1.01805 (12)	-0.1291 (3)	0.67334 (9)	0.0581 (5)
H12	1.0689	-0.0941	0.6981	0.070*
C7	0.89139 (13)	0.1765 (3)	0.51873 (10)	0.0602 (5)
C1	0.97295 (13)	-0.2738 (3)	0.69341 (9)	0.0562 (5)
C11	0.79102 (15)	0.4744 (3)	0.35347 (12)	0.0718 (6)
H15	0.7685	0.5426	0.3165	0.086*
C14	0.66750 (17)	0.3156 (3)	0.26521 (12)	0.0825 (7)
H16A	0.6388	0.4096	0.2895	0.124*
H16B	0.6243	0.2408	0.2418	0.124*
H16C	0.7048	0.3679	0.2322	0.124*
C9	0.87598 (16)	0.4578 (3)	0.45639 (12)	0.0744 (6)
H17	0.9110	0.5138	0.4905	0.089*
C10	0.84410 (17)	0.5530 (3)	0.40260 (14)	0.0825 (7)
H18	0.8584	0.6743	0.3988	0.099*
H4	0.9250 (14)	0.249 (3)	0.5555 (12)	0.082 (7)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\text{\AA}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
N1	0.0574 (9)	0.0669 (10)	0.0438 (8)	-0.0043 (8)	0.0010 (7)	-0.0009 (7)
01	0.0892 (14)	0.0622 (12)	0.0554 (11)	0.000	-0.0097 (10)	0.000
O2	0.0793 (10)	0.0517 (7)	0.0653 (9)	-0.0103 (7)	-0.0143 (7)	0.0074 (6)
C4	0.0519 (10)	0.0664 (11)	0.0400 (9)	-0.0021 (8)	0.0041 (7)	-0.0068 (8)
C13	0.0562 (10)	0.0481 (10)	0.0528 (10)	-0.0019 (8)	0.0097 (8)	0.0000 (8)
O3	0.0880 (11)	0.0637 (9)	0.0679 (9)	0.0048 (7)	-0.0170 (8)	0.0087 (7)
C12	0.0614 (11)	0.0546 (11)	0.0561 (11)	0.0051 (9)	0.0081 (9)	0.0020 (9)
C3	0.0554 (10)	0.0723 (12)	0.0448 (10)	-0.0124 (9)	0.0057 (8)	-0.0027 (9)
C6	0.0654 (12)	0.0565 (11)	0.0610 (12)	-0.0098 (9)	0.0007 (10)	-0.0014 (9)
C5	0.0557 (11)	0.0639 (11)	0.0566 (11)	-0.0071 (9)	-0.0074 (9)	-0.0077 (9)

# supplementary materials

C8	0.0591 (11)	0.0536 (10)	0.0571 (11)	0.0004 (8)	0.0091 (9)	-0.0054 (8)
C2	0.0528 (10)	0.0767 (13)	0.0446 (10)	-0.0101 (9)	-0.0009 (8)	-0.0068 (9)
C7	0.0628 (12)	0.0658 (12)	0.0521 (11)	-0.0022 (10)	0.0030 (9)	-0.0116 (9)
C1	0.0614 (11)	0.0625 (11)	0.0443 (10)	0.0019 (9)	-0.0013 (8)	-0.0048 (8)
C11	0.0819 (15)	0.0571 (12)	0.0768 (14)	0.0050 (11)	0.0087 (12)	0.0074 (11)
C14	0.1016 (18)	0.0836 (15)	0.0610(13)	0.0289 (13)	-0.0081 (12)	0.0036 (11)
С9	0.0833 (15)	0.0583 (12)	0.0814 (15)	-0.0083 (11)	0.0010 (12)	-0.0145 (11)
C10	0.1018 (18)	0.0466 (11)	0.0993 (19)	-0.0044 (12)	0.0076 (15)	0.0029 (12)
Geometric para	ameters (Å, °)					
N1—C7		1.284 (3)	С6—	C1	1.38	2 (3)
N1—C4		1.421 (2)	С6—	Н9	0.95	0
$01-C1^{i}$		1.394 (2)	С5—	H10	0.95	0
01—C1		1.394 (2)	C8—	С9	1.40	2 (3)
O2—C13		1.345 (2)	C8—	C7	1.44	9 (3)
O2—H3		0.840	C2—	C1	1.37	2 (3)
C4—C5		1.379 (3)	C2—	H12	0.95	0
C4—C3		1.397 (3)	С7—	H4	1.04	(2)
C13—C12		1.394 (3)	C11–	C10	1.38	3 (3)
С13—С8		1.401 (3)	C11–	-H15	0.95	0
O3—C12		1.375 (2)	C14—H16A		0.980	
O3—C14		1.419 (2)	C14—H16B		0.980	
C12—C11		1.363 (3)	C14—H16C		0.980	
C3—C2		1.379 (3)	C9—C10		1.36	3 (3)
С3—Н8		0.950	С9—	H17	0.95	0
C6—C5		1.381 (3)	C10-	-H18	0.95	0
C7—N1—C4		120.94 (16)	C1—	C2—C3	120.	11 (17)
C1 <sup>i</sup> —O1—C1		115.8 (2)	C1—	C2—H12	119.	9
С13—О2—Н3		109.5	С3—	C2—H12	119.	9
C5—C4—C3		118.51 (17)	N1—C7—C8		122.	55 (17)
C5-C4-N1		118.23 (16)	N1—	С7—Н4	122.3 (12)	
C3—C4—N1		123.24 (18)	C8—	С7—Н4	115.	2 (12)
O2-C13-C12		118.43 (17)	C2—	C1—C6	120.	57 (17)
O2—C13—C8		121.98 (16)	C2—	C1—O1	121.	33 (16)
С12—С13—С8		119.59 (17)	С6—	C1—O1	118.	06 (18)
C12—O3—C14		117.23 (17)	C12–	-C11-C10	120.	5 (2)
С11—С12—О3		124.41 (18)	C12–	-C11-H15	119.	8
C11—C12—C13	3	120.21 (19)	C10–	C10—C11—H15		8
O3—C12—C13		115.39 (17)	03—	O3—C14—H16A		5
C2—C3—C4		120.22 (18)	03—	O3—C14—H16B		5
С2—С3—Н8		119.9	H16A	—С14—Н16В	109.	5
С4—С3—Н8		119.9	03—	C14—H16C	109.	5
C5—C6—C1		118.99 (19)	H16A	—С14—Н16С	109.	5
С5—С6—Н9		120.5	H16E	<b>—</b> С14—Н16С	109.	5
С1—С6—Н9		120.5	C10–	C10—C9—C8 120.		2 (2)
C4—C5—C6		121.44 (17)	C10–	–C9—H17	119.	9
C4—C5—H10		119.3	C8—	C8—C9—H17		9

C6—C5—H10 C13—C8—C9 C13—C8—C7 C9—C8—C7 Symmetry codes: (i) - <i>x</i> +2, <i>y</i> , - <i>z</i> +3/2.	119.3 118.89 (19) 121.02 (17) 120.09 (18)		C9—C C9—C C11—	C10—C11 C10—H18 -C10—H18		120.5 119.7 119.7	(2)
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) D—H···A O2—H3···N1		<i>D</i> —Н 0.84		H… <i>A</i> 1.87	<i>D…A</i> 2.611 (2)		<i>D</i> —Н… <i>А</i> 147

Fig. 1

